

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,318	08/04/2003	Richard N. Bradford	03-5794	5226
7:	590 01/30/2004		EXAM	INER
William M. Hobby, III			GRAY, LINDA LAMEY	
#375 157 E. New England Avenue Winter Park, FL 32789			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
7.	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/632,318	BRADFORD, RICHARD N.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Linda L Gray	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>04 Au</i>	ugust 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exeminary and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content of the c	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is obtaminer. Note the attached Office a priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as have been received. It is have been received in Applicating the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as the sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(as the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). e Action or form PTO-152. a)-(d) or (f). ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific			
	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Detailed Action

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign not mentioned in the description: 26 (Fig 4a). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regard as the invention.

Claim 1, it is unclear how the transparent polymer sheet is attached to the sheet material when the pressure sensitive backing has been removed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record to Bradford does not teach removing a cover from a pressure sensitive backing on the sheet material and attaching a transparent polymer sheet to a portion of the pressure sensitive adhesive and over the cutout window where it is conventional to provide the frame back panel of Bradford by cutting.

Application/Control Number 10/632,318 Art Unit 1734

6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner Linda L. Gray at (571) 272-1228, Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

llg January 23, 2004

LINDA GRAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER